

### Presentation to the Commission on Judicial Compensation

CHIEF JUSTICE RAHEEM L. MULLINS

NOVEMBER 12, 2024

VIDEO LINK

### Your work is vital to our institution

- 1. Retention of judges is becoming a significant issue
- 2. Recruitment, particularly of candidates from underrepresented communities, is a challenge
- 3. Declining morale



### Seven Statutory Factors

# Factor #1: Levels of Compensation of other states and federal judges

| State             | General-<br>Jurisdiction Court<br>Adjusted for Cost-<br>of-Living Index | Ranking | State                   | General-Jurisdiction<br>Court Adjusted for<br>Cost-of-Living Index | Ranking         |
|-------------------|---|---------|-------------------------|--|-----------------|
| Illinois          | \$246.592   | 1       | Wisconsin               | \$174.338  | 27              |
| Tennessee         | \$230,704   | 2       | Ohio                    | \$174,027  | 28              |
| South             | <i>\$230,704</i>  | 2       | 01110                   | <i>9174,027</i>  | 20              |
| Carolina          | \$220,217   | 3       | lowa                    | \$169,692  | 29              |
| Pennsylvan<br>ia  | \$215,621   | 4       | Kentucky                | \$169,259  | 30              |
| Arkansas          | \$213,170   | 5       | New Jersey              | \$168,455  | 31              |
| New               |   |         |                         |  |                 |
| Mexico            | \$208,870   | 6       | Wyoming                 | \$162,738  | 32              |
| Virginia          | \$208,623   | 7       | Alabama                 | \$162,709  | 33              |
| New York          | \$206,940   | 8       | Rhode Island            | \$162,145  | 34              |
| Nebraska          | \$206,524   | 9       | Maryland                | \$161,735  | 35              |
| Utah              | \$206,268   | 10      | Texas                   | \$159,420  | 36              |
| Georgia           | \$200,851   | 11      | Connecticut             | <mark>\$159,289</mark>   | <mark>37</mark> |
| Missouri          | \$196,253   | 12      | Alaska                  | \$158,693  | 38              |
| Washingto<br>n    | \$194,707   | 13      | North Dakota            | \$158,585  | 39              |
| Florida           | \$194,180   | 14      | Massachuset<br>ts       | \$157,228  | 40              |
| Indiana           | \$191,959   | 15      | Idaho                   | \$155,820  | 41              |
| Michigan          | \$188,951   | 16      | District of<br>Columbia | \$152,923  | 42              |
| Delaware          | \$187,420   | 17      | New<br>Hampshire        | \$152,664  | 43              |
| Minnesota         | \$186,207   | 18      | Kansas                  | \$151,642  | 44              |
| South             |   |         |                         |  |                 |
| Dakota            | \$181,972   | 19      | Vermont                 | \$150,577  | 45              |
| Louisiana         | \$180,029   | 20      | Oregon                  | \$146,803  | 46              |
| Oklahoma          | \$179,939   | 21      | Hawaii                  | \$145,512  | 47              |
| Colorado          | \$178,775   | 22      | West Virgina            | \$145,435  | 48              |
| Mississippi       | \$178,330   | 23      | Montana                 | \$143,146  | 49              |
| North<br>Carolina | \$177,652   | 24      | Nevada                  | \$142,857  | 50              |
| California        | \$176,913   | 25      | Maine                   | \$138,245  | 51              |
| Arizona           | \$175,781   | 26      |                         |  |                 |

| Jurisdiction Ju<br>adjusted for co | • |
|------------------------------------|---|
| Year of Report living              |   |
| 2024 37                            |   |
| <b>2023</b> 36                     |   |
| <b>2022</b> 35                     |   |
| <b>2021</b> 34                     |   |

Connecticut trial court judges have consistently earned some of the lowest salaries compared with judges in other states when adjusted for the cost-of-living.

### Factor #2: Rate of Inflation

#### Salaries of Superior Court judges *if* their salaries had been adjusted by the rate of inflation

| As of<br>Dec.<br>31st | CPI<br>Growth | As<br>of<br>July<br>1st | Salary of<br>Superior<br>Court Judges<br>if Adjusted<br>by CPI |
|-----------------------|---------------|-------------------------|--|
| 2020                  | 1.4%          | 2021                    | \$175,080  |
| 2021                  | 7.0%          | 2022                    | \$187,336  |
| 2022                  | 6.5%          | 2023                    | \$199,513  |
| 2023                  | 3.4%          | 2024                    | \$206,296  |

## Factor #3: Compensation adjustments for state employees during the applicable fiscal years

The salaries of Connecticut judges have not kept pace with those afforded to state employees.

| Fiscal Year Beginning | State Employees'<br>Rate of Increase | Judge's Rate of<br>Increase |  |  |  |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|--|
| July 1, 2021 (FY 22)  | 4.5%                                 | 4.5%                        |  |  |  |
| July 1, 2022 (FY 23)  | 4.5%                                 | 5.0%                        |  |  |  |
| July 1, 2023 (FY 24)  | 4.5%                                 | 3.0%                        |  |  |  |
| July 1, 2024 (FY 25)  | 4.5%                                 | 3.0%                        |  |  |  |

# Factor #4: Levels of compensation received by attorneys employed by government organizations

| <u> </u>      |                               |             |             |
|---------------|-------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Agency        | Job Class                     | Min. Salary | Max. Salary |
| State's       | Chief State's Attorney        | 233,786*    | 233,786*    |
| Attorney      |                               |             |             |
| State's       | Deputy Chief State's Attorney | 219,800*    | 219,800*    |
| Attorney      |                               |             |             |
| State's       | State's Attorney              | 214,686*    | 214,686*    |
| Attorney      |                               |             |             |
| Public        | Chief Public Defender         | 240,799     | 240,799     |
| Defenders     |                               |             |             |
| Public        | Deputy Chief Public Defender  | 226,393     | 226,393     |
| Defenders     |                               |             |             |
| Public        | Public Defender               | 221,126     | 221,126     |
| Defenders     |                               |             |             |
| Attorney      | Attorney General              | 201,023     | 201,023     |
| General       |                               |             |             |
| Attorney      | Deputy Attorney General       | 227,220     | 227,220     |
| General       |                               |             |             |
| Univ. of      | General Counsel               |             | 353,627     |
| Connecticut   |                               |             |             |
| Univ. of CT   | Chief Counsel                 |             | 271,625     |
| Health Center |                               |             |             |
|               |                               |             |             |

#### Public Sector Lawyers Salary Comparison

\**These positions do not contribute towards or receive social security payments.* 

# Factor #4 (continued): Levels of compensation received by attorneys employed by private organizations

#### Median Base Salaries by Associate Year and Firm Size

|           |              | FIRM SIZE – Number of Lawyers |           |      |           |      |           |      |           |      |           |      |           |      |
|-----------|--------------|-------------------------------|-----------|------|-----------|------|-----------|------|-----------|------|-----------|------|-----------|------|
|           | 100 or Fewer |                               | 101-250   |      | 251-500   |      | 501-700   |      | 701-1,000 |      | 1,001+    |      | All Sizes |      |
| Associate | Median       | #                             | Median    | #    | Median    | #    | Median    | #    | Median    | #    | Median    | #    | Median    | #    |
| Year      |              | Rept                          |           | Rept |           | Rept |           | Rept |           | Rept |           | Rept |           | Rept |
| First     | \$155,000    | 29                            | \$160,000 | 78   | \$190,000 | 64   | \$200,000 | 83   | \$200,000 | 160  | \$215,000 | 108  | \$200,000 | 522  |
| Second    | 165,000      | 27                            | 170,000   | 74   | 195,000   | 67   | 209,000   | 87   | 209,000   | 162  | 225,000   | 94   | 209,000   | 511  |
| Third     | 180,000      | 27                            | 180,000   | 71   | 207,500   | 66   | 220,000   | 86   | 220,000   | 168  | 250,000   | 92   | 220,000   | 510  |
| Fourth    | 181,250      | 24                            | 195,000   | 69   | 239,025   | 64   | 255,000   | 85   | 255,000   | 164  | 285,000   | 99   | 245,000   | 505  |
| Fifth     | 192,500      | 22                            | 200,000   | 73   | 243,325   | 66   | 280,000   | 86   | 280,000   | 161  | 315,000   | 94   | 265,000   | 502  |
| Sixth     | 193,450      | 24                            | 210,000   | 73   | 245,000   | 64   | 290,000   | 86   | 290,000   | 162  | 355,000   | 93   | 280,000   | 502  |
| Seventh   | 210,000      | 24                            | 225,000   | 68   | 286,250   | 64   | 303,750   | 95   | 303,750   | 160  | 387,500   | 90   | 295,000   | 501  |
| Eighth    | 213,950      | 20                            | 217,775   | 68   | 235,000   | 49   | 310,000   | 76   | 310,000   | 149  | 395,000   | 88   | 307,500   | 450  |

(in \$/year unless otherwise noted, as of January 1, 2023)

Note: All figures are based on average salaries reported. The "# Rept." column indicates the number of offices reporting. For the purposes of this summary report, medians have been rounded to the nearest \$25.

# Factor #5: Overall economic climate in the state

#### **General Economic Indicators**

In the 2<sup>nd</sup> quarter of 2024, Connecticut has seen an increase over the 1<sup>st</sup> quarter of 2024 in two key economic indicators:

- 2.8% increase in the annual rate of GDP
- 4.1% increase in the annual rate of personal income



### Factor #6: Connecticut's interest in attracting highly qualified and experienced attorneys



Connecticut's residents deserve to have an effective and robust judicial system comprised of diverse judges with the knowledge and expertise to issue high quality judicial decisions.

## Factor #7: The state's ability to fund increases in compensation



This Photo by Unknown Author is licensed under <u>CC BY-NC</u>

# Additional Factor: New salary structure for constitutional officers



The General Assembly recognized that careers in public service demand sacrifice, but that inadequate pay impacts the type of people who can afford to serve in elected positions.

# Summary of Conclusions

By every objective measure, the current compensation for Connecticut judges is well below what would be considered fair

- 1. The salaries of Connecticut judges rank 37 out of 51 compared with trial judges across the country and the District of Columbia.
- 2. Connecticut judicial salaries have not kept pace with the rate of inflation.
- 3. Connecticut judicial salaries have not kept pace with the rate of increase for other state employees.
- 4. Many attorneys working in state government earn more than the judges to whom they appear before.

### Recommendations

#### Fiscal Year 2026

The salary for a Superior Court judge should increase to \$221,839, which would bring a Connecticut Superior Court judge's salary near the median salary received by all trial court judges from across the nation.

#### Fiscal Years 2027, 2028, and 2029

Judicial salaries should increase consistent with the CPI-U plus 2%. The 2% mirrors that which state employees have received as an annual step increase for many years.

### **Moving Forward**

The General Assembly should adopt a statute that would provide for these increases beginning on July 1, 2026, and continue onward.